UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/563,888	01/09/2006	Chi-Hong B. Chen	30448108USWO	7019
22462 7590 01/11/2008 GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			EXAMINER	
			. VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN	
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/563,888	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tracy Vivlemore	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustilly apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ıne 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 10-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4,8,9 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the following (s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing (s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/27/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number:

10/563,888 Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, for example at page 31. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because it recites that the nucleic acid molecule forms a hairpin loop structure as shown in figure 10 and additionally recites that the nucleic acid molecule comprises a stem structure as shown in figure 10.

However, figure 10 actually contains two figures, 10a and 10b; therefore it is unknown which of these figures is meant to be the hairpin loop structure claimed and which figure is meant to be the stem structure claimed. Additionally, even if only one figure was present in figure 10, the claim would be indefinite because each portion of figure 10 contains multiple hairpin loops and stem structures. Figure 10a contains at least three

hairpin loop structures and four stem structures while figure 10b contains at least two hairpin loop structures and three stem structures. Additionally, as stated in MPEP 2173.05(s), reference in a claim to a specific figure is permissible only when the figure cannot be easily incorporated into the claim itself. Amendment of claim 4 to explicitly illustrate the hairpin loop and stem structures being claimed would be remedial.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 9 recites the limitation "the pharmaceutical composition of claim 2" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since claim 2 is not directed to a composition.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because it claims both a product and a process; a kit comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 and methods for its use. If the "methods for its use" is meant to refer to written material describing how the product is to be used, amending the claim to clearly state the method comprises written material would be remedial.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number:

10/563,888

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition comprising the nucleic acid of claim 1 and a pharmaceutical carrier, does not reasonably provide enablement for the nucleic acid of claim 1 as part of a pharmaceutical composition. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following factors as enumerated *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988), are considered when making a determination that a disclosure is not enabling: the breadth of the claims, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the level of ordinary skill in the art, the level of predictability in the art, the amount of direction provided by the inventor, the existence of working examples and the quantity of experimentation needed to make the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The claims recite a nucleic acid molecule that is part of pharmaceutical composition. While it is accepted that claims to a composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier do not require the composition be used as a pharmaceutical, a claim directed to a pharmaceutical composition implies the composition is to be used as a therapeutic in an organism.

The specification describes the use of the HER3 aptamer comprising SEQ ID NO: 19 to bind the ECD of HER3 in cultured human cells. The specification provides no working examples describing the use of this aptamer administered to any organism for a therapeutic purpose.

Application/Control Number:

10/563,888 Art Unit: 1635

Problems related to therapeutic use of nucleic acids were well known in the art at the time of invention (see for example Opalinska et al. (Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 2002, vol. 1, p. 503-514)). Such problems include the inability to specifically deliver an effective concentration of a nucleic acid to a target cell, such that a target gene is inhibited to a degree necessary to result in a therapeutic effect.

Opalinska et al. state on page 511

"[I]t is widely appreciated that the ability of nucleic-acid molecules to modify gene expression *in vivo* is quite variable, and therefore wanting in terms of reliability. Several issues have been implicated as a root cause of this problem, including molecule delivery to targeted cells and specific compartments within cells and identification of sequence that is accessible to hybridization in the genomic DNA or RNA"

and in column 2 of the same page,

"Another problem in this field is the limited ability to deliver nucleic acids into cells and have them reach their target. Without this ability, it is clear that even an appropriately targeted sequence is not likely to be efficient. As a general rule, oligonucleotides are taken up primarily through a combination of adsorptive and fluid-phase endocytosis. After internalization, confocal and electron microscopy studies have indicated that the bulk of the oligonucleotides enter the endosome-lysosome compartment, in which most of the material becomes either trapped or degraded."

Given this unpredictability, the skilled artisan would require specific guidance to use the described oligonucleotides as a pharmaceutical as claimed.

Therefore, given the teachings of the prior art of the unpredictability of using oligonucleotides as pharmaceuticals and the lack of specific guidance or working examples in the specification describing such use, the instant specification is not enabling for a pharmaceutical composition comprising an antisense oligonucleotide. This rejection may be overcome by removing "pharmaceutical" from the preamble of claim 9 and changing claim 8 to read "comprising a pharmaceutical carrier, excipient or stabilizer".

Art Unit: 1635

Allowable Subject Matter

SEQ ID NO: 19 is free of the prior art searched.

Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 10-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Vivlemore whose telephone number is 571-272-2914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz, can be reached on 571-272-0763. The central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service 10/563,888

Page 7

Art Unit: 1635

center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

TV

December 13, 2007

Tracy Vivlemore Examiner Art Unit 1635